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Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine: Are they really wonder drugs for COVID 19?
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ABSTRACT

Despite limited and conflicting data on the use of Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in patients with Covid-19, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration(FDA) has authorized the emergency use of these drug on March 28, 2020 given the clinical trials are unavailable or infeasible. Hydroxychloroquine, alone or in combination with azithromycin, is being widely used in Covid-19 therapy based on anecdotal and limited observational evidence.
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1. Introduction

Since the first case was reported in December 2019 from Wuhan, China, infection with the severe acute respiratory coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) i.e. COVID 19, has become a worldwide pandemic.1,2 The pandemic has also challenged the slow and highly regulated drug-approval process across the globe.3 On March 28, 2020, the U.S. Food & Drug Authority(FDA), the highest drug approving agency across the world, authorized use of the antimalarial drugs chloroquine (CQ) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) to treat COVID-19 patients without any clinical trial data and despite inconclusive clinical evidence of effectiveness.4 Before that, on 22 March, 2020, National task force for COVID-19 constituted by Indian Council for Medical research (ICMR) recommended the use of hydroxychloroquine for prophylaxis of SARS-Cov-2 infection in high risk individuals.5 The recommendation was approved by Drug controller general of India(DCGI) for restricted use in emergency situations.

Interest in these drugs was sparked by the conviction that most COVID-19 patients are probably in an antiviral-susceptible stage before clinical presentation. Following a primary phase marked by the usual respiratory symptoms, fevers, and malaise, patients most affected by COVID-19 develop a severe inflammatory respiratory illness, driven largely by the host immune response. Laboratory data show evidence of massive inflammation, including elevated C-reactive protein, ferritin, and interleukin-6. CQ and HCQ have demonstrated activity in vitro against SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and other viruses.6-8 The mechanism is not clearly understood but it involves raising the pH of cellular endosomes, rendering less efficient the process of viral entry, replication, and infection and interference with glycosylation of cellular receptors for the virus. In addition, these drugs also appear to reduce host cell autophagy.9 Given these apparent antiviral and immunomodulatory effects, CQ/HCQ seemed promising.10

Emergency use Authorization of CQ/HCQ by the FDA for COVID-19 occurred primarily after the extensive publicity cause due to a small non-randomized study, of 36 patients with confirmed COVID-19; 22 having upper respiratory tract infections, 8 having lower respiratory tract infections, and 6 having no symptoms.11 Of these patients, 20 received HCQ (600 mg daily) and 16 did not (control patients). Among the HCQ patients, 6 also received azithromycin (AZM; 500 mg on day 1, 250 mg on days 2–5) as a bacterial superinfection prophylaxis. Within 6 days, virologic clearance was seen in 70% of HCQ recipients and
in 12.5% of controls. All patients treated with HCQ+AZM cleared virus compared with 57.1% who received HCQ alone only.\textsuperscript{11} These findings were not convincing and the effect was purely microbiological and not clinical. Further, the study was not optimally designed and was potentially subject to bias.

Notably, in previous epidemics of chikungunya, dengue, and influenza, the apparent in vitro antiviral effects of CQ/HCQ did not translate into clinical benefits.\textsuperscript{12} The first randomized study of HCQ in COVID-19 patients from China had only 30 patients from a single centre and did not show any clinical benefit.\textsuperscript{13} A second report published February 15, 2020, indicated that there were better outcomes associated with CQ therapy than in controls in a review of 100 patients, but the full results have yet to be published in a peer-reviewed journal.\textsuperscript{14}

Beyond the substantial doubts about their efficacy, CQ and HCQ are not without toxicity. Photosensitivity, gastrointestinal side effects, and other toxicities — while rare — may be augmented in severely ill COVID-19 patients. Of particular concern is QT prolongation due to these agents, as myocarditis and cardiomyopathy appear to be relatively common complications in patients with severe COVID-19.\textsuperscript{15,16} Highlighting these concerns, in a double-blind, randomized trial in Brazil of two doses of CQ (600 mg twice daily for 10 days or 450 mg twice daily for 5 days) for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 recently, the higher-dose arm was discontinued per data safety monitoring board for increased mortality.\textsuperscript{17} An additional retrospective review of 368 male patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in U.S. veterans medical centers, released as non-peer-reviewed preprint on April 21, 2020, raised a similar concern.\textsuperscript{18} Although HCQ recipients and non-recipients differed in several baseline characteristics that could have influenced the findings, the risk for death was higher in the HCQ group than in the non-HCQ group (adjusted hazard ratio with HCQ vs. without, 2.6).\textsuperscript{18}

2. Conclusion

Although new treatment approaches to the management of COVID-19 are required rapidly and desperately keeping in view the pandemic situation, most of the available information in relation to the use of CQ/HCQ for COVID-19 comes from studies that were either poorly designed or available in only non-peer-reviewed preprints. Placebo-controlled studies evaluating the role of CQ/HCQ as postexposure prophylaxis, in outpatient clinics (mild disease), and in hospitalized patients have now been started. Given the currently available limited efficacy data and the grave concerns regarding safety, we believe that CQ/HCQ should not be used for COVID-19 until controlled clinical trials clearly demonstrate the benefits outweighing potential harms.
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